

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/206,329	ZHANG ET AL.	

All Participants:	Status of Application: _____
(1) <u>George R. Evanisko</u> .	(3) _____.
(2) <u>C. G. Mersereau</u> .	(4) _____.
Date of Interview: <u>17 April 2006</u>	Time: _____
Type of Interview:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____	
Part I.	
Rejection(s) discussed:	
Claims discussed:	
1 and 19	
Prior art documents discussed:	
Part II.	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet	
Part III.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: to overcome a minor objection to the claims to clarify the language of the claims to change "can" to "is adapted to" to specify that the sensing means had been adapted to perform that limitation. In addition, figure 2 of the drawings of 2/20/02 should be canceled and the figure numbers amended accordingly--figures 1-17 were originally filed.